## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the Eastern District of Michigan

| Eastern District of Michigan  |   |  |
|---|---|--|
| United States of America  | Case No. 24-30244                                     |  |
| ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL  |   |  |
| Part I - Eligibility for Detention  |   |  |
| Upon the  |   |  |
| Motion of the Government attorney pursuant to 18 U  Motion of the Government or Court's own motion p  |   |  |
| the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention is warrant and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), in additional conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. |   |  |
| Part II - Findings of Fact and Law as to Pa   | resumptions under § 3142(e)                           |  |
| A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142 presumption that no condition or combination of conditions wi and the community because the following conditions have been            | ill reasonably assure the safety of any other person  |  |
| (1) the defendant is charged with one of the following of   | erimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):           |  |
| (a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18 U.S.C. § \$2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of in   |   |  |
| (b) an offense for which the maximum sentence is  | s life imprisonment or death; or                      |  |
| (c) an offense for which a maximum term of impri<br>Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904<br>(21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 40                                     | ), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act    |  |
| <del></del>   |   |  |
| (e) any felony that is not otherwise a crime of viol (i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession of a firearm (iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv) a failure                                 | or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921) |  |
| (2) the defendant has previously been convicted of a Fe § 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been supported in Federal jurisdiction had existed; and                  |   |  |

(3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was

committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; and

(4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

Page 1 of 3

| B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:  |
|---|
| (1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);   |
| (2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;   |
| (3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;   |
| (4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or   |
| (5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.  |
| C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above   |
| The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above.  OR  |
| The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the   |
| presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.  |
| Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention  |
|   |
| Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention  After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing,  |
| Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention  After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  ☑ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure  |
| Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention  After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  ■ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  ■ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure   |
| Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention  After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  ✓ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  ☐ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  ✓ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ✓ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ✓ Prior criminal history   |
| Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention  After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  ☑ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  ☐ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  ☑ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ☑ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ☑ Prior criminal history ☑ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision   |
| Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention  After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  ☑ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  ☐ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  ☑ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ☑ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ☑ Prior criminal history ☑ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision ☑ History of violence or use of weapons   |
| Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention  After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  ✓ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  ☐ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  ✓ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ✓ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ✓ Prior criminal history ✓ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision ✓ History of violence or use of weapons ☐ History of alcohol or substance abuse                             |
| Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention  After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  ✓ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  ☐ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  ✓ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ✓ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ✓ Prior criminal history ✓ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision ✓ History of violence or use of weapons ☐ History of alcohol or substance abuse ☐ Lack of stable employment |
| Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention  After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  ✓ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  ☐ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  ✓ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ✓ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ✓ Prior criminal history ✓ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision ✓ History of violence or use of weapons ☐ History of alcohol or substance abuse                             |

## Case 4:24-mj-30244-CI ECF No. 8, PageID.19 Filed 07/02/24 Page 3 of 3

AO 472 (Rev. 09/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial

| Significant family or other ties outside the United States                  |
|---|
| Lack of legal status in the United States                                   |
| Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration |
| ✓ Prior failure to appear in court as ordered                               |
| Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement                                   |
| Use of alias(es) or false documents   |
| Background information unknown or unverified                                |
| Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release                |

## OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

The nature and circumstances of the offense involved the possession of a firearm by a prohibited person. And, if convicted, Kendrick is subject to a lengthy sentence. The weight of the evidence goes only to the likelihood that the defendant will pose a danger to the community or a risk of flight; it is not a pretrial determination of guilt. United States v. Stone, 608 F.3d 939, 948 (6th Cir. 2010). In weighing the strength of the evidence, the district court may not modify or limit the defendant's presumption of innocence, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(j). That said, Kendrick has a significant criminal history that includes past failures to appear.

The history and characteristics of a defendant include his "character, physical and mental condition, family lies, employment, financial resources, length of residence in the community, community ties, past conduct, history relating to drug or alcohol abuse, criminal history, and record concerning appearance at court proceedings," 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g)(3)(A). Many of these factors weigh against Kendrick's release. He has a significant criminal history that includes arrests for a number of offenses. The pretrial services officer also indicates that Kendrick is currently subject to conditions of release and the supervising officer intends to seek a revocation of the release conditions.

The final factor-the nature and seriousness of the danger to the community posed by the defendant's release-also supports Kendrick's detention. Given the instant charges, Kendrick's criminal history, and committing the instant offenses while subject to release conditions, no bond conditions exist that would reasonably assure the safety of the community. As recommended by pretrial services, and for the combination of factors checked above as well as those in this narrative, detention pending trial is warranted.

## Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

| Date: July 2, | 2024 | s/Curtis Ivy, Jr.                      |
|---------------|------|--|
|               | -    | Judge's Signature                      |
|               |      | Curtis Ivy, Jr., U.S. Magistrate Judge |
|               |      | Name and Title                         |